Dear Mr. David Vitter,

The president’s national security policy is one that our community thinks our senator is compelled to support. As well as supporting the president, we have concluded that we have recommendations for the senator that we would like you to pass on, because we feel they promote developments.

Being citizens of the United States and Louisiana we believe that you, our senator, should in fact support President Obama’s national security policy. Although critics claim the Obama administration violated al-Awlak’s constitutional rights, particularly the 6th Amendment, that states, “A U.S. citizen is entitled to due process under U.S. law, therefore entitles each citizen has the right to defend himself before a jury of peers”, he was considered to be a high-ranking leader of the terrorist network al Qaeda operatives, in additions to his endeavorsfor ordering attacks against U.S. troops in Afghanistan and Iraq. Furthermore, the 5th amendment of the Constitution, which proclaims, “No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in when in actual time of War or public danger…” provides evidence as to why the president’s national security policy is legal, and it fails to violate a U.S. citizens constitutional rights. Therefore, Louisiana’s senator should support the president’s decision because of the proclamations of the U .S. Constitution.

However, we citizens feel there are necessary suggestions that need to be passed on to national security officials that may benefit our country as a whole. Conjoining the leaks of the nature of drone programs with the secrecy of the operation makes concluding the use and effectiveness of the unmanned combat aerial vehicles drones, far more crucial. Therefore, to better our legal system along with ethical values, the U.S. Government drone policy should investigate the interactions of the C.I.A. and Joint Special Operations Command, who are initially in charge of the operation, before gaining consent to use of the drones. “Kill lists” composed by the C.I.A. and Joint Special Operations Command are formed out of secrecy, and in fact are even acknowledged by the president, thus the broadcasts of targeted amorphous killings, especially of an estranged U.S. citizen, are not affirmative reflections that the United States is a magnanimous country. These “kill lists” were formed in Yemen, the initial hideout of Anwar al-Awlaki, therefore violating one’s liberty as well as ambushing a citizen on non-US soil. In addition, to counter argue critics’ claims about the use of drones being unconstitutional and unlawful in attacks, the government should provide legal justifications for their actions. Ultimately, we believe these suggestions provided may trump the controversy over the critical topic and emphasize the ethical values that our country beholds.

Advancing the welfare of our country is a vital component to a united country, of which we live in. This policy is an informative asset to our nation as well the recommendations.